


Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary Record

Application No.: 09 /894,096 First Named Applicant: Perks et al.
 Examiner: Mofiz, A. Art Unit: 2175 Status of Application: Non-final
 Attorney Docket Number: AUS920010171US1 Response Mailed: 08/10/2004
Tentative Participants:
 (1) Examiner — Mofiz, A. (2) Joe Burwell (Signature: 
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Date of Interview: 09/15/2004Time: 3:20 PM Eastern Time**Type of Interview**(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 15 2004

Issues Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>102(e)</u>	<u>1 etc.</u>	<u>Heistermann</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

 Continuation Sheet Attached**Summary of interview:**

Examiner Hofiz and Mr. Burwell discussed the invention and Heistermann. Examiner Hofiz opined that Heistermann still disclosed the claimed invention and the invention as a whole. Mr. Burwell opined that Heistermann did not disclose the claimed invention nor the invention as a whole.